

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,357		07/25/2003	David W. Robertson	S03585/8/US	3 2457	
26648	7590	10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
		RPORATION	WANG, SHENGJUN			
GLOBAL PA POST OFFIC		DEPARTMENT 1027		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ST. LOUIS,	MO 63	006		1617		
				DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,357	ROBERTSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
• • •	— s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			,
application from the International Burea	-	Trobolitos III alio Italional Grago	' .
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , ,	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

ζ

Application/Control Number: 10/627,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-36, 38-51, and 69-70 drawn to a method of treatment or prevention of Alzheimer's disease comprising administering to the subject a cyclooxygenase-2 selective inhibitor, or its salts, or prodrug and a amyloid beta vaccine, wherein the vaccine is a peptide vaccine, classified in class 514, subclass 2, 183+, depending on the actual COX-2 selective inhibitor.
 - Claims 1-35, 37, 47-51, and 69-70 drawn to a method of treatment or prevention of Alzheimer's disease comprising administering to the subject a cyclooxygenase-2 selective inhibitor, or its salts, or prodrug and a amyloid beta vaccine, wherein the vaccine is a nucleic acid vaccine, classified in class 514, subclass 44, 183+, depending on the actual COX-2 selective inhibitor.
 - III. Claims 52-59, 61-68, drawn to a composition comprising a COX-2 selective inhibitor and amyloid beta vaccine, wherein the vaccine is a peptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, 183+, depending on the actual COX-2 selective inhibitor.
 - IV. Claims 52-58, 60, drawn to a composition comprising a COX-2 selective inhibitor and amyloid beta vaccine, wherein the vaccine is a nucleic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 44, 183+, depending on the actual COX-2 selective inhibitor.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

2. Inventions groups (III and IV) and groups (I and II) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as using COX-2 selective inhibitor alone.

- 3. Inventions groups (I and III) and groups (II and IV) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operations. Particularly the different methods herein involved different and distinct materials, i.e., peptide and nucleic acid, and represent separate and distinct methods. They differ with respect to ingredients, method steps and final results. They therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement and represent patentable distinct subject matter. It is noted that a reference to nucleic acid would not be a reference to peptide under 35 U.S.C. 103. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Claims 1-70 generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: A) COX-2 selective inhibitors; and B) vaccine, and C) adjuvant (if applicable). The species are independent or distinct because of their structural diversity. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the groups, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species

that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim

Application/Control Number: 10/627,357 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENGJUNWANG FRIMARY EXAMINER Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617